Natural and Flexible Error Recovery for Generated Parsers

Maartje de Jonge Emma Nilsson-Nyman Lennart Kats Eelco Visser

<u> E</u> ile <u>E</u> dit <u>S</u> ource Refac <u>t</u> or <u>N</u> avigate Se <u>a</u> rch <u>P</u> roject <u>R</u> un <u>W</u> indow <u>H</u> elp				
│ ¤* 🖩 🗁 │ 券× O× ۹⊾× │ 🥖	∮] ≝ ₩ ♂~] ୭ ⊵ ≁~] ೪ [🧕 🛛 🖕 🖓 🖌 🏷 🖉	• <> ×	🗈 💐 Java
📲 Pack 🖹 Hier 😪 Navi 🕱 🖓 🗖	🗗 🛃 *example.java 🛿			;
	package test;			
▷ ☐ org.spoofax-feature [spoofax/tr ∧				
▷ 🕞 org.spoofax.aterm [spoofax/tru	<pre>public class example {</pre>			
▷ 🔓 org.spoofax.compiler [spoofax/				
▷ 🔓 org.spoofax.editor [spoofax/tru	public int i;			
▷ 🔓 org.spoofax.help [spoofax/trunl				
▷ 🚔 org.spoofax.interpreter [spoofa	<pre>public example(){ i=0;</pre>			
▷ 🔓 org.spoofax.interpreter-feature	1=0;			_
👕 org.spoofax.interpreter.adapter	J			=
Image: specific contemport of the second	public boolean answe	erTrue(boolean quest	tion){	
org.spoofax.interpreter.adapter	boolean answer=question;			
Image: Second state in the second state is a second state in the	<pre>if(!answer){</pre>			
org.spoofax.interpreter.adapter	answer = !answer;			
Image: Second	<pre> return answerTrue(answer //); </pre>			
org.spoofax.interpreter.core-fea	}			
Image: Second state of the second state of	else{			
org.spoofax.interpreter.library.js		, //;		
▷ ☐ org.spootax.jsglr [spootax-record b ☐		• answer : boolean	ian) (haalaan , ayamala	
▷ ☐ org.spootax.jsglr-teature [spoot		answer irue(boolean quest	ion) : boolean - example	
P a org.spootax.releng.builder [spo b a f f f f f f f f f f f f f f f f f f	J			
P is org.spootax.sweave [spootax/tr	Press 'Ctrl+ Space' to show Template Proposals			
P is org.strategoxt.imp.editors.edit(
org.strategoxt.imp.reature [spt]				*
	<	III		
🗸 🔄 org.strategoxt.imp.updatesite [🔗 Search 🔅 Call Hierarchy 📀 Error Log 🖃 Console 🖹 Problems 🕱 💎 🗖				
Image of the second	r-recovery/tru 3 errors 1 359 warnings 0 others (Filter matched 103 of 1362 items)			
permissive-grammars [sqlr-rec	Description	matched 105 01 1502 (terms)	Location	Resource
recovery-runtime [sglr-recovery]	✓ Ø Errors (3 items)			
SLE [papers/sle09]	Syntax error, insert ";" to comp	lete BlockStatements	line 18	example.java
👂 🚘 TestCoarseGrained [TestCoarse	8 Syntax error, insert ";" to complete ReturnStatement		line 15	example.java
👂 🖼 TestJDT [sglr-recovery/TestJDT] 🚽	Syntax error, insert ")" to comp	lete MethodInvocation	line 15	example.java 🗸
		III	·	>
	Writ	able Smart Insert	26:1	

- Error Location
- Failure Location



• Traditional approaches

– Panic mode

```
class X {
   int methodX(){
      if(true){
          foo();
      return 5;
   }
   boolean methodY
      return is0dd(i);
   }
}
```

}

- Traditional approaches
 - Panic mode
 - Delete / insert tokens

```
class X {
   int methodX(){
      if(true){
         foo();
      return 5;
   }}
   boolean methodY(){
      int i=5;
      return isOdd(i);
   }
```

- Traditional approaches
 - Panic mode
 - Delete / insert tokens
 - Recover productions

```
class X {
   int methodX(){
      if(true){
         foo();
      return 5;
   }
   boolean methodY(){
      int i=5;
      return isOdd(i);
   }
}
```

- Traditional approaches
 - Panic mode
 - Delete / insert tokens
 - Recover productions
- Issues
 - Poor quality
 - Language dependency

```
class X {
   int methodX(){
      if(true){
          foo();
      return 5;
   }
   boolean methodY(){
      int i=5;
      return isOdd(i);
   }
}
```

- Requirements
 - High quality
 - Language independent
 - SGLR



Fine-Grained Repair

- Error recovery for SGLR (OOPSLA 2009)
 - Extend grammar with recover productions
 - Insert special characters
 - Delete special characters and words
 - Derive recover rules from grammar
 - Adapt parse algorithm to parse recover options

Fine-Grained Repair

- Recover productions introduce ambiguities
- Ambiguities create a search space of alternate parses
- Problem: find the best parse alternative



Figure: Alternate interpretations of "i = f (x + 1;"

Fine-Grained Repair

- Parallel Parsing
 - Bad performance if applied on large regions
- Backtracking
 - Good performance in regular cases
 - Bad performance in worstcase scenarios



Figure: Search space for recover rule: insert ')'

```
public class example {
   public example(){
      /*)default /*
                          Error
      i = 5;
                        location
   }
   public boolean answerTrue(){
      boolean answer = true;
      if(!answer){
         answer = !answer;
         return answerTrue(answer);
      }
      else{
         return answer;
    Failure
   location
```

Figure: Backtracking over a large region



Figure: Parsing SQL as Java

```
public class example {
   public int getI(){
      /* returns value i */
      return getIValue(
                                       }
   public example(){
      i= 0;
   }
                                       }
   public boolean answerTrue(){
      /* This function always
       * returns true
       */
                                           */
      return true;
                                       }
}
                                    }
```

public class example { public int getI(){ Remove:'/' /* returns value i */ return getIValue(public example(){ i= 0: public boolean answerTrue(){ /* This function always * returns true return true;

Figure: Clever but unnatural recovery

Problems with Fine-Grained Recovery

- Performance problems
 - Large area of text is inspected
 - Many recover actions are required
- Quality problems
 - 'Clever' solutions

Solution in SLE Paper

- Technique for selecting erroneous region
 - Restricts area of text that is inspected
 - Fallback recovery: skip erroneous region



Figure: Backtracking on a small region improves performance



Figure: Fallback recovery solves problematic errors

```
public class example {
public class example {
                                       public void setI(int value){
   public int getI(){
                                          /* returns value i */
      /* returns value i (*/)
                                          return getIValue( Insert:');'
      return getIValue(
                                       }
   public example(){
                                       public example(){
                                          i= 0;
      i= 0;
   }
                                       }
   public boolean answerTrue(){
                                       public boolean answerTrue(){
      /* This function always
                                          /* This function always
       * returns true
                                           * returns true
       */
                                           */
      return true;
                                          return true;
                                       }
}
                                    }
```

Figure: Restricting backtracking to erroneous region avoids unnatural recoveries

How to select the erroneous region?

Bridge Parsing



Figure: Scope recovery by indentation



Figure: Region selection by indentation

```
public class RecoverExample {
    public int methodX(int n){
        while(n<10){
            n+=2;
            if(n>=4) {
              System.out.print(n);
            }
        }
        foo();
        return n;
    }
    public void foo(){ }
}
```

Figure: Regions are independent blocks



Figure: Regions are independent blocks

- Issues
 - Assumption on use of indentation
 - Assumption on structure of language

- Select a candidate region
- Check if the candidate contains the error
- Repeat till the erroneous region is found

- Parser fails because of unexpected token
- Select current region
- Reset parser to prior position
- Skip the selected region and resume parsing
- Parsing continues, so the erroneous region is detected

class X {
 int i;



```
void methodY(){
    bar();
}
```

- Current
- Previous
 - Child regions
- Siblings
- Parent

. . .

• Grand parent





Final Solution

- Select erroneous region
- Try Bridge Parsing
- Try Fine Grained Repair
- Skip region

- Testset
 - Missing tokens (65 tests)
 - Wrongly inserted tokens (8 tests)
 - Others (3 tests)

- Criteria
 - Excellent: Same as recovery by a human being
 - Good: Reasonable recovery without spurious errors
 - Poor: Poor recovery creating spurious errors

- Contribution of techniques
 - Region -> Fine Grained
 - Bridge Parsing -> Region ->
 Fine Grained
 - Region -> Bridge Parsing +
 Fine Grained



- Comparison with JDT
 - JDT
 - Region -> Bridge Parsing +
 Fine-Grained



- Language User
 - Quality
 - Performance

• Language Developer

- Language independent
- Flexible
- Transparent

Summary

Region Selection

- Selects erroneous region by using indentation
- Used as a preprocessor for a correcting technique, or as fallback recovery
- Can be implemented for all parsing algorithms

Bridge Parsing

- Scope recovery based on indentation
- Works for all parsing algorithms

• Fine-Grained Repair

- Inserting and deleting special tokens
- Extends grammar with recover productions
- Requires (S)GLR parsing

More Information

Permissive Grammars Project:

strategoxt.org/Stratego/PermissiveGrammars

Email & Homepage:

m.dejonge@tudelft.nl swerl.tudelft.nl/bin/view/Main/MaartjeDeJonge

Braces





Figure: Different notations for braces

Figure: Same indentation pattern, different regions

Robustness

```
public void methodX(){
     if(true)
     {
          int i;
           if(1==3)
               i=5;
  else{
               i=6;
          }
           return;
     }
    int k=9;
```

Dependent blocks

```
public void methodY(){
    if(true)
    {
        return;
    //}
    else{
        return;
    }
}
```



Recovery Rules



% deletions ~[a-zA-Z] $\rightarrow LAYOUT$ {recover} [a-zA-Z]+ $\rightarrow LAYOUT$ {recover}

- Java recovery module
 - Insertions
 - Deletions

Generalized Parsing

module expressions
imports recover-rules
context-free start-symbols Exp

[a-z]+ -> Id
[0-9]+ -> Int
[\ \t\n\r] -> LAYOUT

context-free syntax

Id -> Exp { cons("Var") }
Int -> Exp { cons("Int") }
Exp "+" Exp -> Exp { cons("Add") }
Exp "-" Exp -> Exp { cons("Sub") }
Id "(" Exp ")" -> Exp { cons("FunCall") }

f (x + 1) - g (x + 1 \$



module recover-rules
lexical syntax
 → ")" {recover}