Transformation Systems for DSLs, Architecture Styles, and Graphical Languages

> David Wile Teknowledge Corp. Dwile@teknowledge.com

Two Points

- ¥ There are many approaches that provide (some of) the benefits of Domain Specific Languages
- ¥ Each of them is amenable to adaptation of the various technologies developed for context free language entry, analysis, and manipulation generally, transformation.

Therefore: we should use past research efforts to guide future development in the DSL arena

Supporting the DSL Spectrum

¥ Language tailored to the problem domain

- -Focus on its idioms and jargon
- -Assume pre-defined infrastructure support
- —Thereby avoiding clumsy, overly general constructs
- ¥ Expensive to support fully
 - —Alternative approaches
 - -Varying degrees of support per approach

	Approaches
¥	Abstract syntax
	—OO — Java, .NET
	—COM / CORBA
	—XML
¥	Syntactic
	-YACC
	-Synthesizer Generator, Popart, SDF
¥	Graphical
	Acme — ÒArchitecture StylesÓ
	—PowerPoint Briefing Associate (Ontology-based visualization)
¥	Interpreter / Language Extension
	—Haskell
	—Access
	—Excel
	—Generic programming

Syntax Support Tools

- ¥ Abstract Syntax an intermediate representation capturing the essential concepts of the domain
- ¥ **Q**anguage**O**Specification a set of constraints or templates to restrict designs
- $\mathbf{\hat{\Psi}}$ **\hat{\mathbf{O}}** arsing $\hat{\mathbf{O}}$ adherence of a design to the language specification
- ¥ OSyntax-DirectionO— automated aid to constructing specifications that adhere
- ¥ Type Checking imposing uniformly a set of more global constraints beyond the (generally local) syntactic constraints







Points

- ¥ Many approaches for providing DS(L) support
- ¥ Past experience shows what peripheral tool support mechanisms can be beneficial
- ¥ Read relevant literature from before 1990!